arXiv:1404.2232v3 [math.AP] 13 Jun 2014

Existence of a nontrivial solution for a strongly indefinite periodic Schrödinger-Poisson system

Shaowei Chen * Liqin Xiao

School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaqiao University,
Quanzhou 362021, China

Abstract: We consider the Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u + |u|^{p-2}u = \lambda\phi u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = u^2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $3 < p < 6$, $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is 1-periodic in x_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and 0 is in a spectral gap of the operator $-\Delta + V$. This system is strongly indefinite, i.e., the operator $-\Delta + V$ has infinite-dimensional negative and positive spaces and it has a competitive interplay of the nonlinearities $|u|^{p-2}u$ and $\lambda\phi u$. Moreover, the functional corresponding to this system does not satisfy the Palai-Smale condition. Using a new infinite-dimensional linking theorem, we prove that, for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$, this system has a nontrivial solution.

Key words: Schrödinger-Poisson system; infinite-dimensional linking; strongly indefinite.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J60

1 Introduction and statement of results

In this paper, we consider the Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u + |u|^{p-2}u = \lambda\phi u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = u^2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $3 < p < 6$ and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. The potential function V is a continuous function that is 1-periodic in x_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Under this assumption, $\sigma(L)$, the spectrum of the operator

$$L = -\Delta + V : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{1.2}$$

is a purely continuous spectrum that is bounded below and consists of closed disjoint intervals ([35, Theorem XIII.100]). Thus, the complement $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(L)$ consists of open intervals called spectral gaps. We assume

($\mathbf{v}$). $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is 1-periodic in x_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and 0 is in a spectral gap $(-\alpha, \beta)$ of $-\Delta + V$, where $0 < \alpha, \beta < +\infty$.

A solution (u, ϕ) of (1.1) is called nontrivial if $u \not\equiv 0$ and $\phi \not\equiv 0$. Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $3 < p < 6$ and $(\mathbf{v})$ is satisfied. Then, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, the problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.*

*E-mail address: chensw@amss.ac.cn (Shaowei Chen)

The Schrödinger-Poisson systems such as (1.1) arise in quantum mechanics, and are related to the study of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation for a particle in an electromagnetic field or the Hartree-Fock equation. Such systems have attracted much attention in recent years. For example, in [9] and [23], the system

$$-\Delta u + \omega u + u^{\frac{7}{3}} = \phi u,\ -\Delta\phi = u^2 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

is used to describe a Hartree model for crystals, where ω is a positive constant. Problem (1.1) can also be seen as a nonlinear perturbation of the so-called Choquard system

$$-\Delta u + \omega u = \lambda\phi u,\ -\Delta\phi = u^2 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

This system was introduced as an approximation to the Hartree-Fock theory for a one-component plasma ([1, 15], [24]-[32]). Problem (1.1) is also related to the so-called Schrödinger-Poisson-Slater system:

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u + \lambda\phi u = f(x,u),\ -\Delta\phi = u^2 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

where $\lambda > 0$. In recent years, there have been numerous studies of such systems under various assumptions on V and f. One can, for example, see [2, 4, 5], [7, 14, 16, 20, 37] for the case $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^3} V > 0$ and [10, 11, 12] for the case where V changes sign in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Finally, we should mention that, in [33], the author considers the system

$$-\Delta u + \omega u + W'_u(x,u) = \lambda u\phi,\ -\Delta\phi = u^2 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where ω and λ are positive constants. The potential $W(x,u)$ is nonnegative, radially symmetric in x and, even in u and satisfies some growth conditions. The model nonlinearity is $\frac{1}{p}|u|^p$ with $2 < p < 6$. Using the symmetric mountain pass theorem (see [3]), the author obtained infinitely many radially symmetric solutions of this system.

From a mathematical point of view, problem (1.1) possesses some interesting properties. First of all, this problem has a variational structure. Let $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty\}$$

with inner product

$$(u,v)_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \nabla v dx.$$

For $(u,\phi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, let

$$J_\lambda(u,\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2)dx + \frac{\lambda}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\phi|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2\phi dx.$$

Then, the critical points of J_λ solve (1.1). Because V is 1-periodic in every variable, this functional is invariant under a 1-periodic translation. As a consequence, the functional does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition (see [38]). Second, because 0 is in a spectral gap of $-\Delta + V$, the quadratic form $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2)dx$ has infinite-dimensional negative and positive spaces. This case is called strongly indefinite. Finally, since J_λ is strongly indefinite, it is natural to use the infinite-dimensional linking theorem (see [21, Theorem 3.4] or [38, Theorem 6.10]) to obtain critical points of J_λ. However, because of the competitive interplay of the nonlinearities $\frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx$ and $\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2\phi dx$, J_λ does not satisfy the global linking condition (see (6.4) in [38]) or the τ-upper semi-continuous assumption (see (6.3) in [38]) in this theorem. To the best of our knowledge, variational problems possessing all these properties have never been studied before. Our study of this problem will shed some light on other variational problems possessing properties similar to those mentioned above.

In this paper, we first, modify the nonlinear terms of problem (1.1) such that the variational functional for the modified system of equations has a global infinite-dimensional linking structure. We then use a new infinite-dimensional linking theorem (see Theorem 5.2 in the appendix) to obtain a nontrivial solution for the modified system. This theorem replaces the τ-upper semi-continuous assumption in the classical

infinite-dimensional linking theorem (see [38, Theorem 6.10] or [21, Theorem 3.4]) with other assumption (see (5.5) in Theorem 5.2). For reader's convenience, we give the proof of this theorem in the appendix. Finally, we use a blow-up argument to show that if $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently small, then the nontrivial solution of the modified system is in fact a nontrivial solution of (1.1).

Notation. $B_r(a)$ denotes the open ball of radius r and center a. For a Banach space X, we denote the dual space of X by X', and denote strong and weak convergence in X by $\to$ and $\rightharpoonup$, respectively. For $\varphi \in C^1(X;\mathbb{R})$, we denote the Fréchet derivative of φ at u by $\varphi'(u)$. The Gateaux derivative of φ is denoted by $\langle \varphi'(u), v\rangle$, $\forall u, v \in X$. $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denote the standard L^q space and the locally q-integrable function space, respectively $(1 \le q \le \infty)$, and $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the standard Sobolev space with norm $||u||_{H^1} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u|^2 + u^2)dx)^{1/2}$. Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \ge 1)$. $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in Ω.

2 A modified system for (1.1)

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$0 \le \eta \le 1;\ \eta(t) = 1,\ r \in [-1,1];\ \eta(t) = 0,\ |t| \ge 2;\ |\eta'| \le 2;\ \eta(-t) = \eta(t),\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\eta_n(t) = \eta(t/n),\ F_n(t) = t^2\eta_n(t) \text{ and } f_n(t) = F_n'(t)/2.$$

Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u + |u|^{p-2}u = \lambda\phi f_n(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = F_n(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

We can prove that the second equation has a unique solution ϕ_u. Substituting ϕ_u into the first equation of (2.1), the problem can be transformed into a one variable equation. In fact, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *For any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists a unique $\phi_{n,u} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ that is a solution of*

$$-\Delta\phi = F_n(u), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover,

(i). $\phi_{n,u}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(u(y))}{4\pi|x-y|}dy$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

(ii). $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\phi_{n,u}|^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)\phi_{n,u}dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(u(x))F_n(u(y))}{4\pi|x-y|}dxdy$.

(iii). Let

$$C_* = \inf_{0\ne v\in\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^6dx)^{1/3}} > 0$$

be the Sobolev constant (see, for example, [38, Theorem 1.8]). Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\phi_{n,u}|^2dx \le C_*^{-1}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^{\frac{12}{5}}dx)^{\frac{5}{3}},\ \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

(iv). There exists a positive constant D, which is independent of n and u, such that $0 \le \phi_{n,u} \le Dn^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. Because $0 \le F_n(t) \le t^2$ for all n and t, the Hölder and the Sobolev inequalities implies that, for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)vdx| &\le (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F_n(u)|^{\frac{6}{5}}dx)^{\frac{5}{6}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^6dx)^{\frac{1}{6}} \\ &\le (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\frac{12}{5}}dx)^{\frac{5}{6}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^6dx)^{\frac{1}{6}} \le C_*^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\frac{12}{5}}dx)^{\frac{5}{6}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for fixed $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)vdx$ is a bounded linear functional in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, by the Riesz theorem, there exists a unique $\phi_{n,u} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla\phi_{n,u}\nabla vdx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)vdx, \ \forall v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (2.4)$$

It follows that $\phi_{n,u}$ is the unique solution of (2.2). Then, by the theory of Poisson's equation (see, for example, Theorem 2.2.1 of [18]), we obtain the expression for $\phi_{n,u}$ in (i). Moreover, choosing $v = \phi_{n,u}$ in (2.4), we obtain result (ii) of this lemma.

Choosing $v = \phi_{n,u}$ in (2.3) and using the first equality in (ii), we obtain result (iii).

Since $\phi_{n,u}$ is the solution of (2.1), the regularity theory for elliptic equations (see, for example, [19, Theorem 8.17]) implies that there exists a positive constant C_1 that is independent of n, u and $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, such that, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$||\phi_{n,u}||_{L^\infty(B_1(y))} \le C_1(\int_{B_2(y)} |F_n(u)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.5)$$

From the definition of F_n, we have $0 \le F_n(t) \le (2n)^2$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that

$$C_1(\int_{B_2(y)} |F_n(u)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C_1(\int_{B_2(y)} (2n)^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 4C_1(\int_{B_2(0)} dx)^{1/2}n^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Choosing $D = 4C_1(\int_{B_2(0)} dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, by (2.5) and (2.6), we get that $\phi_{n,u} \le Dn^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Finally, since $F_n(u) \ge 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the maximum principle (see, for example, [19]) implies that $\phi_{n,u} \ge 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. □

For $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \\ = \ & \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2)dx + \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)\phi_{n,u}dx \\ = \ & \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2)dx + \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(u(x))F_n(u(y))}{4\pi|x-y|}dxdy. \quad (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows that the derivative of $\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u), v\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u\nabla v + V(x)uv)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p-2}uvdx \\ &\quad -\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f_n(u(x))v(x)F_n(u(y))}{4\pi|x-y|}dxdy, \ \forall u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

From (i) of Lemma 2.1, and (2.8), we have the following

Lemma 2.2. *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i). $(u, \phi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *is a solution of (*2.1*).*

(ii). u *is a critical point of* $\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ *and* $\phi = \phi_{n,u}$.

3 Existence of a nontrivial solution for (2.1)

Recall that L is the operator defined by (1.2). We denote by $|L|^{1/2}$ the square root of the absolute value of L. The domain of $|L|^{1/2}$ is the space

$$X := H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

On X, we choose the inner product $(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |L|^{1/2}u \cdot |L|^{1/2}v dx$ and the corresponding norm $||u|| = \sqrt{(u,u)}$. Since 0 lies in a gap of the essential spectrum of L, there exists an orthogonal decomposition $X = Y \oplus Z$ such that Z and Y are the positive and negative spaces corresponding to the spectral decomposition of L. Since V is 1-periodic for all variables, they are invariant under the action of $\mathbb{Z}^3$, i.e., for any $u \in Y$ or $u \in Z$ and for any $\mathbf{k} = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, $u(\cdot - \mathbf{k})$ is also in Y or Z. Furthermore,

$$\forall u \in Y,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2)dx = (u,u) = ||u||^2, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\forall u \in Z,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2)dx = -(u,u) = -||u||^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $Q : X \to Z$, $P : X \to Y$ be the orthogonal projections. By (3.1) and (3.2),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2)dx = ||Pu||^2 - ||Qu||^2,\ \forall u \in X. \tag{3.3}$$

From $X = Y \oplus Z$, we get that, for any $u \in X$,

$$u = Pu + Qu, \quad ||u||^2 = ||Pu||^2 + ||Qu||^2. \tag{3.4}$$

This is the standard variational setting for the quadratic form $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2)dx$. See section 6.4 of [38] for more details.

By (3.3) and (2.7), we have

$$-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2}||Qu||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||Pu||^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx + \frac{\lambda}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)\phi_{n,u}dx. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, by (2.8), for any $u, v \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle -\Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u), v\rangle \\ = \ & (Qu,v) - (Pu,v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p-2}uvdx + \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f_n(u(x))v(x)F_n(u(y))}{4\pi|x-y|}dxdy \\ = \ & (Qu,v) - (Pu,v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p-2}uvdx + \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{n,u}f_n(u)vdx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We will prove that if $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently small, then $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ satisfies the global linking condition (see Lemma 3.1). However, because of the nonlinearity, $\frac{\lambda}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)\phi_{n,u}dx$, $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ does not satisfies the τ-upper continuous assumption (see (6.3) in [38]). Therefore, to obtain critical points of $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$, we have to use the new infinite-dimensional linking theorem (Theorem 5.2 in appendix).

Let $\{e_k\}$ be a total orthonormal sequence in Y and

$$|||u||| = \max\Big\{||Qu||, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}|(Pu,e_k)|\Big\}. \tag{3.7}$$

For $R > r > 0$ and $u_0 \in Z$ with $||u_0|| = 1$, set

$$N = \{u \in Z \mid ||u|| = r\},\ M = \{u + tu_0 \mid u \in Y,\ t \geq 0,\ ||u + tu_0|| \leq R\}$$

and

$$\partial M = \{u \in Y \mid ||u|| \leq R\} \cup \{u + tu_0 \mid u \in Y,\ t > 0,\ ||u + tu_0|| = R\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The functional $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ satisfies the following*

(a) *$-\Phi'_{n,\lambda}$ is weakly sequentially continuous, where the weakly sequential continuity is defined in Theorem* 5.2 *in the appendix.*

(b) *there exist $\delta > 0$, $R > r > 0$, $u_0 \in Z$ with $||u_0|| = 1$ and $\lambda'_n > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $0 < \lambda < \lambda'_n$, then*

$$\inf_N(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}) > \max\Big\{0, \sup_{\partial M}(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}), \sup_{|||u|||\leq\delta}(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u))\Big\} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\sup_M(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}) < +\infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. (a) Let $u \in X$ and $\{u_k\} \subset X$ be such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ as $k \to \infty$. It follows that

$$(Qu_k, v) \to (Qu, v),\ (Pu_k, v) \to (Pu, v),\ k \to \infty, \forall v \in X, \tag{3.10}$$

and $u_k \to u$ in $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $1 \leq s < 6$. As consequences, for any $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, as $k \to \infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_k|^{p-2}u_k v dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p-2}uv dx, \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u_k)v dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)v dx. \tag{3.12}$$

From (2.4) and (3.12), we have that, for any $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, as $k \to \infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla\phi_{n,u_k}\nabla v dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla\phi_{n,u}\nabla v dx.$$

This implies $\phi_{n,u_k} \rightharpoonup \phi_{n,u}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Consequently, $\phi_{n,u_k} \to \phi_{n,u}$ in $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $1 \leq s < 6$. Together with $u_k \to u$ in $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $1 \leq s < 6$, this yields that, for any $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, as $k \to \infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{n,u_k} f_n(u_k)v dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{n,u} f_n(u)v dx. \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.6), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.13), we get that, as $k \to \infty$,

$$\langle -\Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k), v\rangle \to \langle -\Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u), v\rangle,\ \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Therefore, $-\Phi'_{n,\lambda}$ is weakly sequentially continuous. Moreover, $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ maps bounded sets into bounded sets, hence $\sup_M(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}) < +\infty$.

(b) If $u \in Z$, then $Pu = 0$ and $Qu = u$. As $\phi_{n,u} \geq 0$ (see Lemma 2.1) and $F_n \geq 0$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)\phi_{n,u}dx \geq 0$ for all $u \in X$. Then, using the Sobolev inequality

$$||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C'||u||, \tag{3.14}$$

we get for the definition of $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ that, for any $u \in Z$,

$$-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}||u||^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx \geq \frac{1}{2}||u||^2 - \frac{C'^p}{p}||u||^p.$$

Let $r = C'^{-p/(p-2)}$. Then, for $N = \{u \in Z \mid ||u|| = r\}$,

$$\inf_N(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}) \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})C'^{-2p/(p-2)} > 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Let $C > 0$ be such that

$$||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C||u||, \ \forall u \in X. \tag{3.16}$$

Let

$$\lambda_n' = (C^2 D n^2)^{-1},$$

where D is the constant appearing in Lemma 2.1(iv). Then, for any $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_n'$ and $u \in X$, $F_n(t) \leq t^2$ and $\phi_{n,u} \leq Dn^2$ (see Lemma 2.1(iv)) yield

$$\begin{aligned} -\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) &= \frac{1}{2}||Qu||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||Pu||^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^p dx + \frac{\lambda}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_n(u)\phi_{n,u}dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}||Qu||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||Pu||^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^p dx + \frac{\lambda}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2\cdot(Dn^2)dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}||Qu||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||Pu||^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^p dx + \frac{1}{4}||u||^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{4}||Qu||^2 - \frac{1}{4}||Pu||^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^p dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Let $u_0 \in Z$ be such that $||u_0|| = 1$. And let $u = v + tu_0 \in Y \oplus \mathbb{R}u_0$. By (3.17), we have

$$-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \leq \frac{3}{4}t^2 - \frac{1}{4}||v||^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v + tu_0|^p dx. \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.18) and the proof of Lemma 6.14 in [38], we obtain

$$-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \to -\infty, \text{ as } ||u|| \to \infty, \ u \in Y \oplus \mathbb{R}u_0. \tag{3.19}$$

Moreover, for $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_n'$ and $u \in Y$, (3.17) implies

$$-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \leq -\frac{1}{4}||u||^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^p dx \leq 0.$$

Together with (3.19), this yields

$$\sup_{\partial M}(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}) \leq 0 < \inf_{N}(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}). \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.17) and the definition of $|||\cdot|||$ (see (3.7)), we have, for $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_n'$,

$$-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \leq \frac{3}{4}||Qu||^2 \leq \frac{3}{4}|||u|||^2. \tag{3.21}$$

Choosing $\delta = (\frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})C'^{-2p/(p-2)})^{1/2}$, (3.21) and (3.15) give that

$$\sup_{|||u|||\leq\delta}(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u)) < \inf_{N}(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}).$$

Together with (3.20), this yields (3.8). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $V_- = \max\{-V, 0\}$ and $M = ||V_-||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{p-2}} + 2$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda_n'' > 0$ such that if $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_n''$ and $\{u_k\}$ is a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence for $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$, i.e.*

$$\sup_n(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u_k)) \leq c, \ (1 + ||u_k||)||-\Phi_{n,\lambda}'(u_k)||_{X'} \to 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty, \tag{3.22}$$

then

$$\int_{\varpi_k}|u_k|^p dx \to 0, \ k \to \infty, \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\varpi_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |u_k(x)| \geq M\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$v_k(x) = \max\{u_k - M + 1, 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_k|^2 dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_k|^2 dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_k|^2 dx$. Therefore, there exists $C'' > 0$ such that $||v_k|| \le C''||u_k||$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by (3.22), we get $\langle \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k), v_k\rangle = o(1)$, here $o(1)$ denotes the infinitesimal depending only on k, i.e., $o(1) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Together with (2.8), this yields

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u_k \nabla v_k dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) u_k v_k dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_k|^{p-2} u_k v_k dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k} v_k dx \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_k^+} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + \int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_k^+} V_+(x) u_k v_k dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_k^+} (|u_k|^{p-2} - V_- - \lambda u_k^{-1} f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k}) u_k v_k dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where $V_+ = V + V_-$ and

$$\widetilde{\varpi}_k^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid u_k(x) \ge M - 1\}.$$

From $0 \le \phi_{n,u_k} \le Dn^2$ and $|t^{-1} f_n(t)| \le 3$, we deduce that if

$$0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{6Dn^2} := \lambda''_n,$$

then, for any $x \in \widetilde{\varpi}_k$,

$$|u_k|^{p-2} - V_- - \lambda u_k^{-1} f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k} > 0.$$

Together with (3.24) and the fact that V_+, u_k and v_k are nonnegative on $\widetilde{\varpi}_k$, this implies

$$\int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_k^+} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx = o(1).$$

Then, by the Sobolev inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_k^+} |v_k|^6 dx = o(1).$$

Let

$$\varpi_k^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid u_k(x) \ge M\}.$$

Then $\varpi_k^+ \subset \widetilde{\varpi}_k^+$. And on ϖ_k^+, $v_k^6 \ge u_k^6/M^6 \ge u_k^p/M^p$. It follows that

$$\int_{\varpi_k^+} |u_k|^p dx \le M^p \int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_k^+} |v_k|^6 dx = o(1). \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\varpi_k^-} |u_k|^p dx = o(1). \tag{3.26}$$

where $\varpi_k^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid -u_k(x) \ge M\}$. (3.23) follows from (3.25) and (3.26) immediately. □

Lemma 3.3. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda'''_n > 0$ such that, if $0 < \lambda < \lambda'''_n$ and $\{u_k\}$ is a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence for $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$, then $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in X.*

Proof. From $(1 + ||u_k||)|| - \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k)||_{X'} \to 0$, we have

$$\langle -\Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k), Qu_k\rangle = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle -\Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k), Pu_k\rangle = o(1),$$

where $o(1)$ denotes the infinitesimal depending only on k, i.e., $o(1) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Together with (3.6), this yields

$$||Qu_k||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_k|^{p-2}u_k \cdot Qu_k dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k} \cdot Qu_k dx + o(1) \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$||Pu_k||^2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_k|^{p-2}u_k \cdot Pu_k dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k} \cdot Pu_k dx + o(1). \tag{3.28}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$A_{\epsilon,k} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |u_k(x)| < \epsilon\}.$$

And recall that ϖ_k is the set defined in Lemma 3.2. Using $0 \le \phi_{n,u_k} \le Dn^2$, $|f_n(t)| \le 5t$ and $|u_k| \le M$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \varpi_k$, we get from (3.27) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& ||Qu_k||^2 \\
= \;& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_k|^{p-2}u_k \cdot Qu_k dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k} \cdot Qu_k dx + o(1) \\
\le \;& (\int_{A_{\epsilon,k}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus(\varpi_k \cup A_{\epsilon,k})} + \int_{\varpi_k})|u_k|^{p-1} \cdot |Qu_k| dx + 5\lambda Dn^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_k| \cdot |Qu_k| dx \\
\le \;& \epsilon^{p-2}(\int_{A_{\epsilon,k}} |u_k|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Qu_k|^2 dx)^{1/2} + M^{p-2}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus(\varpi_k \cup A_{\epsilon,k})} |u_k|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Qu_k|^2 dx)^{1/2} \\
& +(\int_{\varpi_k} |u_k|^p dx)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Qu_k|^p dx)^{1/p} + 5\lambda Dn^2(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_k^2 dx)^{1/2}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Qu_k|^2 dx)^{1/2} + o(1) \\
\le \;& C^2\epsilon^{p-2}||u_k||^2 + CM^{p-2}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus(\varpi_k \cup A_{\epsilon,k})} |u_k|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}||Qu_k|| + C'(\int_{\varpi_k} |u_k|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}||Qu_k|| \\
& +5\lambda CDn^2||u_k||^2 + o(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where C' and C come from (3.14) and (3.16), respectively. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
||Pu_k||^2 \;\le\; & C^2\epsilon^{p-2}||u_k||^2 + CM^{p-2}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus(\varpi_k \cup A_{\epsilon,k})} |u_k|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}||Pu_k|| + C'(\int_{\varpi_k} |u_k|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}||Pu_k|| \\
& +5\lambda CDn^2||u_k||^2 + o(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Since $||u_k||^2 = ||Pu_k||^2 + ||Qu_k||^2$ (see (3.4)), these two inequalities (3.29) and (3.30) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
||u_k||^2 \;\le\; & 2C^2\epsilon^{p-2}||u_k||^2 + 2CM^{p-2}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus(\varpi_k \cup A_{\epsilon,k})} |u_k|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}||u_k|| + 2C'(\int_{\varpi_k} |u_k|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}||u_k|| \\
& +10\lambda CDn^2||u_k||^2 + o(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

From $\sup_n(-\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u_k)) \le c$ and $(1 + ||u_k||)||\Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k)||_{X'} \to 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
o(1) + c \;\ge\; & -\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u_k) + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k), u_k\rangle \\
= \;& (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_k|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_k f_n(u_k) - \frac{1}{2}F_n(u_k))\phi_{n,u_k} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

From the definitions of f_n and F_n, we have that

$$|t^{-2}(tf_n(t) - \frac{1}{2}F_n(t))| \le 5/2, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.33}$$

From (3.32), (3.33), and $\phi_{n,u_k} \le Dn^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon^{p-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus(\varpi_k\cup A_{\epsilon,k})}|u_k|^2dx\\
\le\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus(\varpi_k\cup A_{\epsilon,k})}|u_k|^p dx\\
\le\ &c(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}+\frac{\lambda}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(u_kf_n(u_k)-\frac{1}{2}F_n(u_k))\phi_{n,u_k}dx-\int_{\varpi_k\cup A_{\epsilon,k}}|u_k|^p dx+o(1)\\
\le\ &c(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}+\frac{5\lambda}{4}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}Dn^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u_k^2dx+o(1)\\
\le\ &c(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}+(\frac{5C^2}{4}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}Dn^2)\cdot\lambda||u_k||^2+o(1). \qquad (3.34)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus(\varpi_k\cup A_{\epsilon,k})}|u_k|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\le\ &\epsilon^{1-p/2}c^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\epsilon^{1-p/2}(\frac{5C^2}{4}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}Dn^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}||u_k||+o(1). \qquad (3.35)
\end{aligned}$$

If ϵ and λ_n''' are such that

$$2C^2\epsilon^{p-2}=\frac{1}{8},\ 2CM^{p-2}\epsilon^{1-p/2}(\frac{5C^2}{4}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}Dn^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda_n''')^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\frac{1}{8},\ 10CDn^2\lambda_n'''\le\frac{1}{8},\ \lambda_n'''\le\lambda_n'',$$

then, from (3.35), (3.31) and the fact that $\int_{\varpi_k}|u_k|^p dx=o(1)$ (Lemma 3.2), we deduce that, for $0<\lambda\le\lambda_n'''$, $\{||u_k||\}$ is bounded. This completes the proof. □

Let $\lambda_n=\min\{\lambda_n',\lambda_n'',\ \lambda_n'''\}$, where λ_n', λ_n'' and λ_n''' are the constants in Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, respectively.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $0<\lambda<\lambda_n$, the system (2.1) has a nontrivial solution.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3, and Theorem 5.2 in the appendix, we deduce that, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $0<\lambda<\lambda_n$, there exists a bounded $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence $\{u_k\}$ for $-\Phi_{n,\lambda}$ with $\inf_k|||u_k|||>0$. Up to a subsequence, either

(a). $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_1(y)}|u_k|^2dx=0$, or

(b). there exist $\varrho>0$ and $a_k\in\mathbb{Z}^3$ such that $\int_{B_1(a_k)}|u_k|^2dx\ge\varrho$.

If (a) occurs, the Lions lemma (see, for example, [38, Lemma 1.21]) implies u_k satisfies $u_k\to 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $2<s<6$. It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u_k|^{p-2}u_k\cdot Qu_kdx\to 0,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u_k|^{p-2}u_k\cdot Pu_kdx\to 0, \qquad (3.36)$$

and by $|t^{-1}f(t)|\le 3$, $\forall t\in\mathbb{R}$ and Lemma 2.1(iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k}\cdot Qu_kdx\ &=\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u_k^{-1}f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k}\cdot u_k\cdot Qu_kdx\\
&\le\ 3\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u_k\phi_{n,u_k}\cdot Qu_kdx\\
&\le\ 3(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\phi_{n,u_k}|^6dx)^{\frac{1}{6}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u_k|^{\frac{12}{5}}dx)^{\frac{5}{6}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|Qu_k|^{\frac{12}{5}}dx)^{\frac{5}{6}}\\
&\le\ 3C_*^{-1}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u_k|^{\frac{12}{5}}dx)^{\frac{5}{3}}(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|Qu_k|^{\frac{12}{5}}dx)^{\frac{5}{6}}\to 0. \qquad (3.37)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_n(u_k)\phi_{n,u_k} \cdot Pu_k dx \to 0$. Then from (3.36), (3.37), (3.27) and (3.28), we obtain $||u_k|| \to 0$. This contradicts $\inf_k |||u_k||| > 0$. Therefore, case (a) cannot occur. As case (b) therefore occurs, $w_k = u_k(\cdot + a_k)$ satisfies $w_k \rightharpoonup u_0 \neq 0$. From $(1 + ||w_k||)|| - \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(w_k)||_{X'} = (1 + ||u_k||)|| - \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k)||_{X'} \to 0$ and the weakly sequential continuity of $-\Phi'_{n,\lambda}$ (see Lemma 3.1), we have that $-\Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_0) = 0$. Therefore, (u_0, ϕ_{n,u_0}) is a nontrivial solution of (2.1). This completes the proof. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $3 < p < 6$. Then for any $\Lambda > 0$, there exists $N_\Lambda > 0$ such that, if $(u, \phi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a solution of (2.1) with $n \geq N_\Lambda$ and $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$, then*

$$|u(x)| \leq n, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Proof. We apply an indirect argument, and assume by contradiction that there exist $\Lambda_0 > 0$, a real number sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ and a sequence $\{(u_n, \phi_n)\}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $0 < \mu_n \leq \Lambda_0$, (u_n, ϕ_n) is a solution of (2.1) with $\lambda = \mu_n$ and

$$||u_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} > n. \tag{4.1}$$

Since $\phi_{n,u}$ is a bounded function in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (see Lemma 2.1(iv)) and $u_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a solution of

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u + |u|^{p-2}u = \mu_n f_n(u)\phi_{n,u} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.2}$$

the bootstrap argument of elliptic equations (see [19]), implies that $u_n \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be such that

$$|u_n(x_n)| = \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n(x)| = ||u_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{4.3}$$

We shall use the blow-up argument of [6] to induce a contradiction. Let $M_n = \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n(x)|$ and

$$\tilde{u}_n(x) = M_n^{-1} u_n(x_n + xM_n^{-\alpha}), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

with $\alpha = (p-2)/2$. Then,

$$|\tilde{u}_n| \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \ |\tilde{u}_n(0)| = 1, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$u_n(x) = M_n \tilde{u}_n(M_n^\alpha(x - x_n)), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Substituting this expression into (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -M_n^{1+2\alpha}(\Delta\tilde{u}_n)(M_n^\alpha(x - x_n)) + M_n V(x)\tilde{u}_n(M_n^\alpha(x - x_n)) \\ & +M_n^{p-1}(|\tilde{u}_n|^{p-2}\tilde{u}_n)(M_n^\alpha(x - x_n)) \\ = \ & \mu_n f_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n(M_n^\alpha(x - x_n))) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n(M_n^\alpha(y - x_n)))}{4\pi|x - y|} dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n(M_n^\alpha(y - x_n)))}{4\pi|x - y|} dy = M_n^{-2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n(y))}{4\pi|M_n^\alpha(x - x_n) - y|} dy,$$

Together with (4.5), this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & -\Delta\tilde{u}_n + M_n^{2-p} V(x_n + xM_n^{-\alpha})\tilde{u}_n + |\tilde{u}_n|^{p-2}\tilde{u}_n \\ = \ & M_n^{-(2p-3)} \cdot \mu_n f_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n(y))}{4\pi|x - y|} dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From the definition of f_n, we have $|f_n(t)| \le 6n$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, Lemma 2.1(iv) gives

$$0 \le \phi_{n,M_n\tilde{u}_n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n(y))}{4\pi|x-y|}dy \le Dn^2.$$

Then by $p > 3$, $\mu_n \le \Lambda_0$ and $M_n > n$, we obtain

$$M_n^{-(2p-3)} \cdot \mu_n |f_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{F_n(M_n\tilde{u}_n(y))}{4\pi|x-y|}dy \le 6D\Lambda_0 n^3 M_n^{-(2p-3)} \to 0,\ n \to \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

Moreover, because V is a bounded function in $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have that

$$M_n^{2-p}V(x_n + xM_n^{-\alpha}) \to 0,\ n \to \infty \tag{4.8}$$

holds uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then, by the standard elliptic estimates (see Section 9.2 of [19]), we deduce from $|\tilde{u}_n| \le 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) that, for any $2 \le q < \infty$ and $0 < R < \infty$, $\tilde{u}_n$ is bounded in $W^{2,q}(B_R(0))$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\tilde{u}_n$ converges weakly in $W^{2,q}(B_R(0))$ ($\forall R < +\infty$, $\forall p < +\infty$) and thus in particular in $C^1(B_R(0))$ to some u_0 satisfying $|u_0(0)| = 1$. From (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), we can see that u_0 satisfies

$$-\Delta u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0,\ u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{4.9}$$

However, by Theorem 1 of [8], the only solution to this equation is $u = 0$, which contradicts $|u_0(0)| = 1$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We choose $\Lambda = 1$ in Proposition 4.1 and choose $n_* \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $n_* \ge N_\Lambda$. Then by Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 4.1, for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0 := \min\{\lambda_{n_*}, 1\}$, problem (2.1) with $n = n_*$ has a nontrivial solution (u, ϕ) satisfying

$$||u||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le n_*.$$

It follows that $f_{n_*}(u) = u$ and $F_{n_*}(u) = u^2$. Hence, (u, ϕ) is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). □

5 Appendix: A variant infinite-dimensional linking theorem

In this section, we give a new infinite-dimensional linking theorem. This theorem replaces the τ-upper semi-continuous assumption (see (6.3) in [38]) in the Kryszewski and Szulkin's infinite-dimensional linking theorem (see [38, Theorem 6.10] or [21, Theorem 3.4]) with other assumptions (see (5.5) in Theorem 5.2). Our theorem is a generalization of the classical finite-dimensional linking theorem (see [34, Theorem 5.3])

Before state the infinite-dimensional linking theorem, we give some notations and definitions.

Let X be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and norm $||\cdot||$, respectively. Y and Z are closed subspaces of X and $X = Y \oplus Z$. Let $\{e_k\}$ be a total orthonormal sequence in Y. Let

$$Q : X \to Z,\ P : X \to Y \tag{5.1}$$

be the orthogonal projections. We define

$$|||u||| = \max\Big\{||Qu||, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}|(Pu, e_k)|\Big\} \tag{5.2}$$

on X. Then,

$$||Qu|| \le |||u||| \le ||u||,\ \forall u \in X,$$

and if $||u_n||$ is bounded and $|||u_n - u||| \to 0$, then $\{u_n\}$ weakly converges to u in X. The topology generated by $|||\cdot|||$ is denoted by τ, and all topological notations related to it will include this symbol.

Let $R > r > 0$ and $u_0 \in Z$ with $||u_0|| = 1$. Set

$$N = \{u \in Z \mid ||u|| = r\},\ M = \{u + tu_0 \mid u \in Y,\ t \geq 0,\ ||u + tu_0|| \leq R\}. \tag{5.3}$$

Then, M is a submanifold of $Y \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ u_0$ with boundary

$$\partial M = \{u \in Y \mid ||u|| \leq R\} \cup \{u + tu_0 \mid u \in Y,\ t > 0,\ ||u + tu_0|| = R\}. \tag{5.4}$$

Definition 5.1. *Let $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. A sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ is called a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence for J, if*

$$\sup_n J(u_n) \leq c \quad \textit{and} \quad (1 + ||u_n||)||J'(u_n)||_{X'} \to 0, \ \textit{as } n \to \infty.$$

Theorem 5.2. *If $H \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

(a) *H' is weakly sequentially continuous, i.e., if $u \in X$ and $\{u_n\} \subset X$ are such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, then, for any $\varphi \in X$, $\langle H'(u_n), \varphi\rangle \to \langle H'(u), \varphi\rangle$.*

(b) *there exist $\delta > 0$, $u_0 \in Z$ with $||u_0|| = 1$, and $R > r > 0$ such that*

$$\inf_N H > \max\Big\{\sup_{\partial M} H, \sup_{|||u||| \leq \delta} H(u)\Big\} \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$\sup_M H < +\infty, \tag{5.6}$$

Then there exists a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence $\{u_n\}$ for H with $c = \sup_M H$ and $\inf_n |||u_n||| \geq \delta/2$.

Proof. Arguing indirectly, assume that the result does not hold. Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(1 + ||u||)||H'(u)||_{X'} \geq \epsilon,\ \forall u \in E \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$E = \{u \in X \mid H(u) \leq d + \epsilon\} \cap \{u \in X \mid |||u||| \geq \delta/2\}$$

and

$$d = \sup_M H.$$

From (5.5), we can choose ϵ such that

$$0 < \epsilon < \inf_N H - \max\Big\{\sup_{\partial M} H, \sup_{|||u||| \leq \delta} H(u)\Big\}. \tag{5.8}$$

$\mathbf{Step1}$. A vector field in a τ-neighborhood of E.
Let

$$b = \inf_N H, \quad T = 2(d - b + 2\epsilon)/\epsilon, \quad R = (1 + \sup_{u \in M} ||u||)e^T \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$B_R = \{u \in X \mid ||u|| \leq R\}. \tag{5.10}$$

For every $u \in E \cap B_R$, there exists $\phi_u \in X$ with $||\phi_u|| = 1$ such that $\langle H'(u), \phi_u\rangle \geq \frac{3}{4}||H'(u)||_{X'}$. Then, (5.7) implies

$$(1 + ||u||)\langle H'(u), \phi_u\rangle > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon. \tag{5.11}$$

From the definition of $|||\cdot|||$, we deduce that if a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E \cap B_R$ τ-converges to $u \in X$, i.e., $|||u_n - u||| \to 0$, then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X (see Remark 6.1 of [38]). By the weakly sequential continuity of H', we get that for any $\varphi \in X$, $\langle H'(u_n), \varphi\rangle \to \langle H'(u), \varphi\rangle$. This implies that H' is τ-sequentially continuous in $E \cap B_R$. By (5.11), the τ-sequential continuity of H' in $E \cap B_R$ and the weakly lower semi-continuity of the norm $||\cdot||$, we get that there exists a τ-open neighborhood V_u of u such that

$$\langle H'(v), (1 + ||u||)\phi_u\rangle > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon, \ \forall v \in V_u, \tag{5.12}$$

and

$$||(1 + ||u||)\phi_u|| = 1 + ||u|| \le 2(1 + ||v||), \ \forall v \in V_u. \tag{5.13}$$

Because B_R is a bounded convex closed set in the Hilbert space X, B_R is a τ-closed set. Therefore, $X \setminus B_R$ is a τ-open set.

The family

$$\mathcal{N} = \{V_u \mid u \in E \cap B_R\} \cup \{X \setminus B_R\}$$

is a τ-open covering of E. Let

$$\mathcal{V} = \Big(\bigcup_{u \in E \cap B_R} V_u\Big) \bigcup (X \setminus B_R).$$

Then, $\mathcal{V}$ is a τ-open neighborhood of E.

Since $\mathcal{V}$ is metric, hence paracompact, there exists a local finite τ-open covering $\mathcal{M} = \{M_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ finer than $\mathcal{N}$. If $M_i \subset V_{u_i}$ for some $u_i \in E$, we choose $\varpi_i = (1 + ||u_i||)\phi_{u_i}$ and if $M_i \subset X \setminus B_R$, we choose $\varpi_i = 0$. Let $\{\lambda_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a τ-Lipschitz continuous partition of unity subordinated to $\mathcal{M}$. And let

$$\xi(u) := \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i(u)\varpi_i, \ u \in \mathcal{V}. \tag{5.14}$$

Since the τ-open covering $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ is local finite, each $u \in \mathcal{V}$ belongs to only finite many sets M_i. Therefore, for every $u \in \mathcal{V}$, the sum in (5.14) is only a finite sum. It follows that, for any $u \in \mathcal{V}$, there exist a τ-open neighborhood $U_u \subset \mathcal{V}$ of u and $L_u > 0$ such that $\xi(U_u)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X and

$$||\xi(v) - \xi(w)|| \le L_u|||v - w|||, \ \forall v, w \in U_u. \tag{5.15}$$

Moreover, by the definition of ξ, (5.12) and (5.13), we get that, for every $u \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$||\xi(u)|| \le 1 + ||u|| \quad \text{and} \quad \langle H'(u), \xi(u)\rangle \ge 0 \tag{5.16}$$

and for every $u \in E \cap B_R$,

$$\langle H'(u), \xi(u)\rangle > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon. \tag{5.17}$$

Step2. Let θ be a smooth function satisfying $0 \le \theta \le 1$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \le \frac{2\delta}{3}, \\ 1, & t \ge \delta. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\chi(u) = \begin{cases} -\theta(|||u|||)\xi(u), & u \in \mathcal{V}\,, \\ 0, & |||u||| \le \frac{2\delta}{3}. \end{cases}$$

Then, χ is a vector field defined in

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \cup \{u \in X \mid |||u||| < \delta\}.$$

It is a τ-open neighborhood of $H^{d+\epsilon} \cup (X \setminus B_R)$, where

$$H^{d+\epsilon} := \{u \in X \mid H(u) \le d + \epsilon\}.$$

From (5.15), (5.17) and the definition of χ, we deduce that the mapping χ satisfies that

($\mathbf{a}$). each $u \in \mathcal{W}$ has a τ-open set V_u such that $\chi(V_u)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X,

($\mathbf{b}$). for any $u \in \mathcal{W}$, there exist a τ-open neighborhood U_u of u and $L'_u > 0$ such that

$$||\chi(v) - \chi(w)|| \leq L'_u |||v - w|||, \ \forall v, w \in U_u. \tag{5.18}$$

This means that χ is locally Lipschitz continuous and τ-locally Lipschitz continuous,

($\mathbf{c}$).

$$||\chi(u)|| \leq 1 + ||u||, \ \forall u \in \mathcal{W}, \tag{5.19}$$

($\mathbf{d}$).

$$\langle H'(u), \chi(u) \rangle \leq 0, \ \forall u \in \mathcal{W}. \tag{5.20}$$

and

$$\langle H'(u), \chi(u) \rangle < -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon, \ \forall u \in \{u \in E \mid |||u||| \geq \delta\} \cap B_R. \tag{5.21}$$

Step3. From (5.18) and the fact that $|||v||| \leq ||v||, \forall v \in X$, we have

$$||\chi(v) - \chi(w)|| \leq L'_u ||v - w||, \ \forall v, w \in U_u.$$

This implies that χ is a local Lipschitz mapping under the $||\cdot||$ norm. Then by the standard theory of ordinary differential equation in Banach space, we deduce that the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\eta}{dt} = \chi(\eta), \\ \eta(0, u) = u \in \mathcal{W}. \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

has a unique solution in $\mathcal{W}$, denoted by $\eta(t, u)$, with right maximal interval of existence $[0, T(u))$. Furthermore, using (5.18) and the Gronwall inequality (see, for example, Lemma 6.9 of [38]), the similar argument as the proof of $\mathbf{c}$) in [38, Lemma 6.8] yields that

($\mathbf{A}$). η is τ-continuous, i.e., if $u_n \in \mathcal{W}$, $u_0 \in \mathcal{W}$, $0 \leq t_n < T(u_n)$ and $0 \leq t_0 < T(u_0)$ satisfy $|||u_n - u_0||| \to 0$ and $t_n \to t_0$, then $|||\eta(t_n, u_n) - \eta(t_0, u_0)||| \to 0$.

From (5.20), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(\eta(t, u)) = \langle H'(\eta(t, u)), \eta(t, u) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Therefore, H is non-increasing along the flow η. It follows that $\{\eta(t, u) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T(u)\} \subset H^{d+\epsilon}$ if $u \in H^{d+\epsilon}$, i.e., $H^{d+\epsilon}$ is an invariant set of the flow η. Then, (5.19) and Theorem 5.6.1 of [22] (or Corollary 4.6 of [36]) implies that, for any $u \in H^{d+\epsilon}$, $T(u) = +\infty$.

Step 4. We shall prove that

$$\{\eta(t, u) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T, \ u \in M\} \subset B_R. \tag{5.23}$$

Let $u \in H^{d+\epsilon}$. By the result in Step 3, we have $T(u) = +\infty$ and

$$\eta(t, u) = u + \int_0^t \chi(\eta(s, u)) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty).$$

Together with (5.19), this yields

$$||\eta(t, u)|| \leq ||u|| + \int_0^t ||\chi(\eta(s, u))|| ds \leq ||u|| + \int_0^t (1 + ||\eta(s, u))||) ds.$$

Then, by the Gronwall inequality (see, for example, Lemma 6.9 of [38]), we get that

$$||\eta(t,u)|| \leq (1+||u||)e^t - 1, \quad \forall t \in [0,+\infty). \tag{5.24}$$

Since $M \subset H^{d+\epsilon}$, by (5.24) and the definition of R (see 5.9), we get (5.23).

Step 5. From the choice of ϵ (see (5.8)), we have

$$\sup_{|||u|||\leq\delta} H < b-\epsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\{u \in X \mid |||u||| \leq \delta\} \subset H^{b-\epsilon} := \{u \in X \mid H(u) \leq b-\epsilon\}.$$

Together with (5.21), this yields

$$\langle H'(u), \chi(u)\rangle < -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon, \ \forall u \in H^{d+\epsilon}_{b-\epsilon} \cap B_R, \tag{5.25}$$

where

$$H^{d+\epsilon}_{b-\epsilon} := \{u \in X \mid b-\epsilon \leq H(u) \leq d+\epsilon\}.$$

We show that, for any $u \in M$, $H(\eta(T,u)) \leq b-\epsilon$. Arguing indirectly, assume that this were not true. Then, there exists $u \in M$ such that $H(\eta(T,u)) > b-\epsilon$. Since H is non-increasing along the flow η, from (5.23), we deduce that $\{\eta(t,u) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\} \subset H^{d+\epsilon}_{b-\epsilon} \cap B_R$. Then, by (5.25),

$$\begin{aligned} H(\eta(T,u)) &= H(\eta(0,u)) + \int_0^T \Big\langle H'(\eta(s,u)), \chi(\eta(s,u))\Big\rangle ds \\ &\leq H(\eta(0,u)) + \int_0^T (-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon) ds \\ &\leq d+\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon T = b-\epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

This contradicts $H(\eta(T,u)) > b-\epsilon$. Therefore, we have

$(\mathbf{B})$. $\eta(T,M) \subset H^{b-\epsilon}$.

Moreover, using the result $(\mathbf{a})$ in Step 2 and and the fact that η is τ-continuous (see $(\mathbf{A})$), the similar argument as the proof of the result $b)$ of [38, Lemma 6.8] yields that

$(\mathbf{C})$. Each point $(t,u) \in [0,T] \times H^{d+\epsilon}$ has a τ-neighborhood $N_{(t,u)}$ such that

$$\{v - \eta(s,v) \mid (s,v) \in N_{(t,u)} \cap ([0,T] \times H^{d+\epsilon})\}$$

is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X.

Step6. Let

$$h : [0,T] \times M \to X, \ h(t,u) = P\eta(t,u) + (||Q\eta(t,u)|| - r)u_0$$

where P, Q, r and u_0 are defined in (5.1), (5.3) and (5.4). Then

$$0 \in h(t,M) \Leftrightarrow \eta(t,M) \cap N \neq \emptyset.$$

From $\inf_N H > \sup_{\partial M} H$ (see (5.5)) and the fact that, for any $u \in X$, the function $H(\eta(\cdot,u))$ is non-increasing, we deduce that $\inf_N H > \sup_{u\in\partial M} H(\eta(t,u))$, $\forall t \in [0,T]$. Therefore,

$$0 \notin h(t,\partial M), \ \forall t \in [0,T]. \tag{5.27}$$

Since η has the properties $(\mathbf{A})$ and $(\mathbf{C})$ obtained in step 3 and step 5 respectively and h satisfies (5.27), there is an appropriate degree theory for $\deg(h(t,\cdot), M, 0)$ (see Proposition 6.4 and Theorem 6.6 of [38]). Then, the same argument as the proof of Theorem 6.10 of [38] yields that

$$\deg(h(T,\cdot), M, 0) = \deg(h(0,\cdot), M, 0) \neq 0.$$

It follows that $0 \in h(T,M)$ and $\eta(T,M) \cap N \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there exists $u \in M$ such that $H(\eta(T,u)) \geq b$. It contradicts the property $(\mathbf{B})$ obtained in step 5. This completes the proof of this theorem. □

References

[1] N. Ackermann, On a periodic Schrödinger equation with nonlocal superlinear part. Math. Z. 248 (2004) 423-443.

[2] C.O. Alves, M.A.S. Souto, S.H.M. Soares, Schrödinger-Poisson equations without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 377 (2011) 584-592.

[3] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973) 349-381.

[4] A. Ambrosetti, D. Ruiz, Multiple bound states for the Schrödinger-Poisson problem, Commun. Contemp. Math. 10 (2008) 391-404.

[5] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 (2008) 90-108.

[6] A. Bahri, P.-L. Lions, Solutions of superlinear elliptic equations and their Morse indices, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 45 (1992), no. 9, 1205-1215.

[7] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., 11 (1998) 283–293.

[8] H. Brezis, Semilinear equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ without condition at infinity, Appl. Math. Optim. 12 (1984), no. 3, 271-282.

[9] I. Catto, C. Le Bris, P.-L. Lions, On some periodic Hartree-type models for crystals, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 19 (2002) 143-190.

[10] H. Chen, S. Liu, Standing waves with large frequency for 4-superlinear Schrödinger-Poisson systems, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10231-013-0363-5, in press.

[11] S. Chen, C. Wang, Existence of multiple nontrivial solutions for a Schrödinger-Poisson system, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 411 (2014), no. 2, 787-793.

[12] S. Chen, L. Xiao, Existence of multiple nontrivial solutions for a strongly indefinite Schrödinger-Poisson system, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2014, Art. ID 240208.

[13] S. Cingolani, S. Secchi, M. Squassina, Semi-classical limit for Schrödinger equations with magnetic field and Hartree-type nonlinearities, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 140 (2010), no. 5, 973-1009.

[14] S. J. Chen, C. L. Tang, High energy solutions for the superlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009) 4927-4934.

[15] M. Clapp, D. Salazar, Positive and sign changing solutions to a nonlinear Choquard equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 407 (2013), no. 1, 1-15.

[16] G.M. Coclite, A multiplicity result for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, Commun. Appl. Anal. 7 (2003) 417-423.

[17] T. D'Aprile, D. Mugnai, Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell and Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 134 (2004) 893-906.

[18] L. C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, volume 19 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[19] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[20] H. Kikuchi, On the existence of a solution for elliptic system related to the Maxwell-Schrödinger equations, Nonlinear Anal. 67 (2007) 1445-1456.

[21] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to a semilinear Schrödinger equation, Adv. Differ. Equ. 3 (1998) 441-472.

[22] G. E. Ladas, V. Lakshmikantham, Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 85. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.

[23] C. Le Bris, P.-L. Lions, From atoms to crystals: a mathematical journey, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 42 (2005), no. 3, 291-363.

[24] E. H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation, Stud. Appl. Math. 57 (1977) 93-105.

[25] P.-L. Lions, The Choquard equation and related questions. Nonlinear Anal. 4 (1980), no. 6, 1063-1072.

[26] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1 (1984), no. 2, 109-145.

[27] L. Ma, L. Zhao, Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 195 (2010) 455-467.

[28] M. Melgaard, F. Zongo, Multiple solutions of the quasirelativistic Choquard equation, J. Math. Phys. 53 (2012), no. 3, 033709, 12 pp.

[29] G. P. Menzala, On regular solutions of a nonlinear equation of Choquard's type, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 86 (1980), no. 3-4, 291-301.

[30] I. M. Moroz, R. Penrose, P. Tod, Spherically-symmetric solutions of the Schröinger-Newton equations, Classical Quantum Gravity 15 (1998) 2733-2742.

[31] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013), no. 2, 153-184.

[32] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, Semi-classical states for the Choquard equation, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations (2014), DOI 10.1007/s00526-014-0709-x, in press.

[33] D. Mugnai, The Schrödinger-Poisson system with positive potential, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 36 (2011), no. 7, 1099-1117.

[34] P. H. Rabinowitz, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations. In: CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 65, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC (1986).

[35] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York-London, 1978.

[36] M. Schechter, Minimax Systems and Critical Point Theory, Birkhäser, Boston, 2009.

[37] D. Ruiz, The Schrödinger-Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, J. Funct. Anal. 237 (2006) 655-674.

[38] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Vol 24. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.